

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,231	10/13/2004	Marcus Leberfinger	259613US0PCT	7051
22850	7590 04/19/2006		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			DIXON, MERRICK L	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1774	
	• ·		DATE MAIL ED: 04/10/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/510,231	LEBERFINGER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Merrick Dixon	1774	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 Ja</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims	•		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 7-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 7-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	·	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the order	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/510,231 Page 2

Art Unit: 1774

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gugumus(US 6828364 B2).

The cited reference teaches the claimed invention- col 48; lines 40-48; col 52, lines 60-64.

3. Claims 8 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gugumus (US 6828364 B2).

The cited reference teaches the manipulative step of injection molding similar material as claimed. Concerning claims 17-20, it is submitted limitations directed to articles are not germane to the instant question for process patentability. Such article limitations must affect the process in a manipulative sense-Ex parte Pfeiffer, 1962 CD 408(1961).

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 7,9-14 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gugumus (US 6828364 B2) in view of Prissok et al (US 6203915 B1). The primary reference to Gugumus teaches the claimed hinge composite element comprising wood material and thermoplastic polyurethane- col 48, col 40-48; col 52,

Application/Control Number: 10/510,231 Page 3

Art Unit: 1774

lines 60-64; col 52, lines 60-64.. The primary reference fails to expressly teach it polyurethane material made from specific reacting material. The secondary reference to Prissok et al, however, teaches that it is notoriously well known in the art to form same polyurethane material from hexamethylene diisocyanate col 1, line 55- col 2, line 30). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to produce the polyurethane of the primary with the specific claimed reactant material, as claimed, motivated by the desire to impart flexibility to same- col 1, lines 20-25. Concerning claims 9-14 and 21, the primary reference teaches wood material in col 53, lines 15-16., the primary reference teaches furniture material/product in col 53, line 2 and door articles.

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed 1-24-06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that the resulting hinge of the instant application is more durable than conventional hinges. To this the examiner respectfully remind applicants that the office is in no position to determine experimentally in an invention such as that at issue, the subject matter known is the same as that known in the prior art.

 Accordingly, in such instances, this shifts the burden to applicants who have the resources to make a clear distinction and better experimentally define and identify the differences between the teachings of the references and the claimed invention.
- 7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 1774

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8

Applicants who wish to send a facsimile (draft copies) for the examiner's immediate review can do so by using the Examiner's personal fax number at 571-273-1520. The faxing of all papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 O.G. 30 (November 15, 1989). NOTE: All facsimiles sent to the examiner's personal fax number should be in draft-forms and will be treated as informal.

Same facsimiles will not be entered in the related applications unless otherwise agreed and noted by the examiner.

The fax number for all other fascimile is 571-273-8300.

Information about **the status of an application** may be obtained from the Patent Information Retrieval system (**Private PAIR**).

Status inquires for **published applications** may be retrieved from either **Private PAIR** or **Public PAIR**. Questions about the PAIR system should be directed to the Electronic Business Center at **866-217-9197**.

Art Unit: 1774

Any questions concerning the instant communication should be directed to Examiner Dixon, at 571-272-1520, Mondays, Wednesdays and Thursdays, between 12 noon and 8 PM, eastern time.

Merrick Dixon

Primary Examiner

Group 1700